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This paper presents a solution of the second-order boundary-layer equations for the two-dimensional case of a wall jet on a curved surface. The outer flow is obtained by means of a conformal transformation, and general solutions for the displacement and curvature effects are given both as series and as integrals. These solutions are applied to symmetrical flow over a parabolic surface, the wall jet being either outside or inside.

## 1. Introduction

The second-order equations for viscous flow at high Reynolds number were obtained by Van Dyke (1962) from the method of matched asymptotic expansions. So far there have been few full solutions of these equations, and these have been surveyed by Van Dyke (1969). The study reported here was undertaken in order to provide a complete solution of the equations in a specific case and to compare the importance of the displacement and curvature effects.

The problem chosen for this purpose is that of the two-dimensional flow produced by a wall jet on a curved surface. The advantages of this choice are first, that the outer flow is at rest to first order so that the second-order flow may be obtained by potential theory and in particular by conformal transformation; second, that the first-order boundary layer has an analytical solution, perturbations to which satisfy equations that can be reduced to hypergeometric form.

The wall jet in this problem is supposed to be produced by blowing tangentially along the surface in opposite directions from narrow slits. The boundary layers thus formed draw in fluid from their surroundings and this entrainment drives the second-order outer flow. This outer flow and the curvature of the surface cause the second-order perturbations to the boundary layer that are described by the title of this paper.

As introduced by Glauert (1956), the wall jet is a steady flow which satisfies the first-order boundary-layer equations for an incompressible fluid, namely

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{s}}+\bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{n}}=\nu \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{n}^{2}},  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{s}}+\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{n}}=0, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\bar{v}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad \bar{n}=0, \quad \bar{u} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \bar{n} \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\bar{s}, \bar{n}$ are curvilinear co-ordinates along and at right angles to a fixed surface, $\bar{u}, \bar{v}$ are the corresponding velocity components, and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid.

This flow is characterized by the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{u}^{2} \bar{\psi} d \bar{n}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\psi}$ is the stream function defined so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\partial \bar{\psi} / \partial \bar{n}, \quad \bar{v}=-\partial \bar{\psi} / \partial \bar{s}, \quad \bar{\psi}(\bar{s}, 0)=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constancy of $F$ follows from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{s}}\left(\bar{u}^{2} \bar{\psi}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{n}}\left(\bar{u} \bar{v} \bar{\psi}-\nu \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \nu \bar{u}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a consequence of (1), (2) and (5).
Glauert obtained a similarity solution of the equations in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\psi}=(40 \nu F \bar{s})^{\frac{1}{4}} f(\eta),  \tag{7}\\
& \eta=\frac{1}{4}\left(40 F / \nu^{3} \bar{s}^{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \bar{n}, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(\eta)$ satisfies the equation
with

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}+2 f^{\prime 2}=0  \tag{9}\\
f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime}(\infty)=0 \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

The numbers in (7) and (8) have been chosen so that the appropriate solution of (9) has $f(\infty)=1$, and then

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(\eta)=g^{2}(\eta)  \tag{11}\\
g^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}\left(1-g^{3}\right) \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\text { from which } \quad \eta=\frac{1}{2} \log \left\{\frac{1+g+g^{2}}{(1-g)^{2}}\right\}+\sqrt{ } 3 \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{g \sqrt{ } 3}{g+2}\right)
$$

In the later parts of this paper, integrals involving $f$ or its derivatives may often be evaluated by taking Glauert's variable $g$ as the variable of integration.

The general equations for the first- and second-order terms in both the outer and inner flows are given by Van Dyke (1962, 1969), together with the necessary matching conditions. These terms represent successive approximations in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, where $R$ is a Reynolds number for the flow. In the case of the wall jet we introduce a length scale $l$ for the surface over which the jet flows, and the characteristic velocity in the boundary layer is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{c}=(40 F / \nu l)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the Reynolds number

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\left(40 F l / \nu^{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the outer flow we use Cartesian co-ordinates $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=(l x, l y)$. There is no first-order flow and we can write the second-order velocity as $R^{-\frac{1}{-}} U_{c} V$ and take the pressure as $R^{-1} \rho U_{c}^{2} P$. Then $\mathbf{V}, P$ satisfy the ordinary inviscid equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}=-\operatorname{grad} P, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{V}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the flow is at rest at infinity we can introduce a velocity potential $R^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{c} l \Phi$ and a stream function $R^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{c} l \Psi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}=\operatorname{grad} \Phi=\operatorname{curl}(\Psi \mathbf{k}), \quad P=P_{\infty}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V}^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the boundary layer the variables are made dimensionless by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{s}=l s, \quad \bar{n}=R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln , \quad \bar{u}=U_{c} u, \quad \bar{v}=R^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{c} v, \quad \bar{\psi}=R^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{c} l \psi . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pressure is taken as $\rho U_{c}^{2} p$. The dependent variables are expanded in powers of $R^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, so that $\quad u=u_{1}+R^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_{2}+\ldots$, etc.
and Van Dyke's equations are then obtained as the coefficients of the various powers of $R$ in the Navier-Stokes equations with $s, n$ as independent variables.

The first-order velocity components ( $u_{1}, v_{1}$ ) satisfy the dimensionless forms of (1) and (2), and the first-order pressure $p_{1}$ is constant. The matching conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{1}=0, \quad V_{n}(s)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(v_{1}-n \partial v_{1} / \partial n\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{n}(s)$ is the component of $V$ normal to the surface, evaluated at the surface. Glauert's solution takes the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1}=s^{\ddagger} f(\eta), \quad \eta=\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{3}{2}} n,  \tag{21}\\
u_{1}=\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} f^{\prime}(\eta), \quad v_{1}=-\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left(f-3 \eta f^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The second-order boundary-layer equations involve the curvature of the surface, which is written as $l^{-1} \kappa(s)$, where $\kappa(s)>0$ if the surface is convex to the flow. These equations are

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial s}+u_{2} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial s}+v_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(u_{2}+\kappa n u_{1}\right)+v_{2} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial n}=-\frac{\partial p_{2}}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial n^{2}}+\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(n \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial n}\right),  \tag{22}\\
\kappa u_{1}^{2}=\frac{\partial p_{2}}{\partial n}+\kappa n \frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial n}  \tag{23}\\
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(v_{2}+\kappa n v_{1}\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

and are to be solved for $u_{2}, v_{2}, p_{2}$ subject to the no-slip conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=v_{2}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad n=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the matching conditions, which for the present problem are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2} \rightarrow V_{t}(s), \quad p_{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{t}(s)$ is the tangential component of the outer flow $V$ at the surface of the body. The remainder of this paper is concerned with the solution of these equations in the case when the first-order flow is given by Glauert's similarity solution.

## 2. Outer flow

It will be assumed that the wall jet is two-sided and symmetrical, having the same strength $F$ on each side. From (20) and (21) the boundary condition for the outer flow is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n}(s)=-\frac{1}{4}|s|^{\frac{3}{4}} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The surface is assumed to extend to infinity, in order to avoid the complication of boundary layers colliding on the far side of a closed body, and we need to determine the potential flow and in particular the function $V_{t}(s)$ in view of (26).

Since the region of flow extends to infinity it is convenient to derive it from a half plane by means of a conformal transformation. We write $z=x+i y$ for the physical plane, $Z=X+i Y$ for the transformed plane, and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=F(Z)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} f_{n} Z^{n} \quad\left(|Z|<R^{*}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the region of flow is the image of $Y>0$ and the points at infinity correspond. Without loss of generality we can suppose that the wall jet is at $z=0$ and that this corresponds to $Z=0$ so that $f_{0}=0$. The function $F(Z)$ is regular and $F^{\prime}(Z) \neq 0$ in $Y \geqslant 0$, though there may be singularities in $Y<0$. On the surface
so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
d s=|d z|=\left|F^{\prime}(X)\right| d X,  \tag{29}\\
s=S(X)=\int_{0}^{X}\left\{F^{\prime}(X) \overline{F^{\prime}(X)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d X \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $s$ having the sign of $X$. If we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}(Z)=\overline{F(\bar{Z})}=\sum_{0}^{\infty} \bar{f}_{n} Z^{n} \quad\left(|Z|<R^{*}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can extend the function $S(X)$ into the complex plane as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Z)=\int_{0}^{Z}\left\{F^{\prime}(Z) \bar{F}^{\prime}(Z)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d Z \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S(Z)$ is regular in $|Z|<R^{*}$ and is real for $Z$ real. Near $Z=0, S(Z) \sim\left|f_{1}\right| Z$. The singularities of $S(Z)$ in $Y>0$ are where $\bar{F}(Z)$ is singular or $\bar{F}^{\prime}(Z)=0$.

The complex potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(Z)=\Phi(X, Y)+i \Psi(X, Y) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

is regular in $Y>0$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi(X, 0)=\operatorname{sgn}(X)|S(X)|^{\ddagger}  \tag{34}\\
d w / d Z \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|Z| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { in } \quad Y>0 . \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

with
The condition (34) is satisfied by the function

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{1}(Z)=-(\sqrt{ } 2+1-i) S^{\frac{1}{1}}(Z)  \tag{36}\\
w(Z)=w_{1}(Z)+w_{2}(Z) \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $w_{2}(X)$ is real for real $X$. It is convenient to express the tangential velocity as
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{t}(s)=U_{1}(s)+U_{2}(s) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $U_{1}(s)$ is the displacement flow due to a wall jet on a plane surface and $U_{2}(s)$ is the additional flow caused by the curvature of the surface. The series solution of
the second-order boundary-layer equations in $\S 3$ requires $U_{2}(s)$ to be expressed as a power series for small $s$, but the actual values of $U_{2}(s)$ are needed by the integral method of §4.

The flow in the $Z$ plane may be produced by a sink distribution along the $X$ axis, the sink strength in an element $d X$ being

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d \Psi=\frac{1}{2}|S(X)|^{-\frac{3}{4}} S^{\prime}(X) d X \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

when allowance is made for flow on both sides. Thus if $Y \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d Z}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|S(X)|^{-\frac{3}{4}} S^{\prime}\left(X_{1}\right)}{X_{1}-Z} d X_{1} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $Z$ plane is cut along the negative real axis this result can be expressed in terms of contour integrals passing above $\left(C_{+}\right)$and below ( $C_{-}$) the cut as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d Z}=\left(\frac{1+(\sqrt{ } 2+1) i}{8 \pi} \int_{C_{+}}+\frac{1-(\sqrt{ } 2+1) i}{8 \pi} \int_{C_{-}}\right) \frac{S^{-\frac{3}{4}\left(Z_{1}\right) S^{\prime}\left(Z_{1}\right)}}{Z_{1}-Z} d Z_{1}, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ lies above both contours. If $C_{+}$is moved across the pole at $Z_{1}=Z$, an addition is made of

$$
\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{ } 2+1-i) S^{-\frac{3}{3}}(Z) S^{\prime}(Z)=-d w_{1} / d Z .
$$

Hence for $Z$ real, or $|Z|$ small, (42) gives $d w_{2} / d Z$ with $Z$ lying between the contours. For $|Z|$ small, we can expand in powers of $Z$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w_{2}}{d Z}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} n a_{n} Z^{n-1}, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, after integrating by parts,

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\mathscr{R}\left\{\frac{1+(\sqrt{ } 2+1) i}{\pi} \int_{C_{+}} \frac{S^{1}\left(Z_{1}\right)}{Z_{1}^{n+1}} d Z_{1}\right\} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2}(s)=\frac{1}{S^{\prime}(x)} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n a_{n} X^{n-1}=\sum_{0}^{\infty} d_{n} s^{n} \quad(\text { say }), \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $d_{n}$ can be calculated by use of the power series for $S(X)$, since $s=S(X)$.

If $Z=X$ is real we have similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2}(s)=\frac{1}{S^{\prime}(X)} \mathscr{R}\left\{\frac{1+(\sqrt{ } 2+1) i}{\pi} \int_{C_{+}} \frac{S^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(Z_{1}\right\rangle}{\left(Z_{1}-X\right)^{2}} d Z_{1}\right\} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the surface is symmetrical about the normal at the position of the wall jet then $S(X)$ and $U_{2}(s)$ are odd functions and
so that

$$
\int_{C_{-}} \frac{S\left(Z_{2}\right)}{\left(Z_{2}-X\right)^{2}} d Z_{2}=-\int_{C_{+}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1 \pi i}{} i} S^{\ddagger}\left(Z_{1}\right)}{\left(Z_{1}+X\right)^{2}} d Z_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2}(s)=\frac{2}{\pi}(1+(\sqrt{ } 2+1) i) \int_{C_{+}} \frac{X Z_{1}}{\left(Z_{1}^{2}-X^{2}\right)^{2}} S t\left(Z_{1}\right) d Z_{1} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $C_{+}$and $C_{-}$are divided symmetrically into $L_{+}, R_{+}$and $L_{-}, R_{-}$we have

$$
\int_{L_{+}} \frac{Z_{1}}{\left(Z_{1}^{2}-X^{2}\right)^{2}} S^{\ddagger}\left(Z_{1}\right) d Z_{1}=\int_{R_{-}} \frac{-e^{\frac{1}{4 i}} Z_{2}}{\left(Z_{2}^{2}-X^{2}\right)^{2}} S^{\ddagger}\left(Z_{2}\right) d Z_{2}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2}(s)=(4 / \pi)\left(X / S^{\prime}(X)\right)(I-(\sqrt{ } 2+1) J) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I+i J=\int_{R_{+}} \frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{1}^{2}-X^{2}} S \sharp\left(Z_{1}\right) d Z_{1} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $R_{+}$as a contour from 0 to $\infty$ passing above the pole at $Z_{1}=X$, and removing the singular part of the integrand, we find that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I+i J=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{X_{1}}{\left(X_{1}^{2}-X^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{S^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{1}\right)-S^{\frac{1}{4}}(X)-\frac{1}{4} S^{-\frac{3}{4}}(X) S^{\prime}(X)\left(X_{1}-X\right)\right\} d X_{1} \\
\quad-\frac{1}{2} X^{-2} S^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)+\frac{1}{8} X^{-1} S^{-\frac{3}{4}}(X) S^{\prime}(X)\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \pi i\right) . \tag{50}
\end{array}
$$

The contribution of $J$ to $U_{2}(s)$ is therefore

$$
\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{ } 2+1) S^{-\frac{3}{4}}(X)=-U_{1}(s),
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{t}(s)=\frac{4 X}{\pi S^{\prime}(X)}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{X_{1}}{\left(X_{1}^{2}-X^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(S^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(X_{1}\right)-S^{\frac{1}{4}}(X)-\frac{1}{4} S^{-\frac{3}{4}}(X) S^{\prime}(X)\left(X_{1}-X\right)\right) d X_{1}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{1}{2} X^{-2} S^{\frac{1}{1}}(X)+\frac{1}{8} X^{-1} S^{-\frac{3}{4}}(X) S^{\prime}(X)\right\} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Second-order boundary layer: series solution

We now have to solve (22), (23), (24) with $u_{1}, v_{1}$ given by Glauert's solution and $p_{1}=0$, subject to the conditions (25) and (26) with $V_{t}(s)$ given by the analysis of $\S 2$. The continuity equation (24) is satisfied when the velocity components $u_{2}, v_{2}$ are given in terms of the second-order stream function $\psi_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=\partial \psi_{2} / \partial n, \quad v_{2}+\kappa n v_{1}=-\partial \psi_{2} / \partial s \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

After solving for $p_{2}$ we can write (22) in terms of the stream function as

$$
\text { where } \quad \begin{align*}
\psi_{2 n n n} & -\psi_{1 n} \psi_{2 n s}-\psi_{2 n} \psi_{1 n s}+\psi_{1 s} \psi_{2 n n}+\psi_{2 s} \psi_{1 n n}=-H(s, n)  \tag{53}\\
& =\kappa(s)\left(\psi_{1 n n}+n \psi_{1 n n n}+\psi_{1 n} \psi_{1 s}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\kappa(s) \int_{n}^{\infty} \psi_{1 n}^{2} d n\right) \\
& =\kappa(s) n \psi_{1 n n n}+\kappa^{\prime}(s) \int_{n}^{\infty} \psi_{1 n}^{2} d n \\
& =\frac{1}{16} s^{-\frac{5}{4}\left\{\kappa \eta f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)+8 s \kappa^{\prime} g^{\prime 2}(\eta)\right\} .} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

We first consider the variation in Glauert's integral due to second-order effects. Since $u_{2} \rightarrow V_{t}(s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we must modify the integral and write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi u\left(u-R^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{t}(s)+O\left(R^{-1}\right)\right) d n \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{1} u_{1}^{2} d n+R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\psi_{2} u_{1}^{2}+2 \psi_{1} u_{1} u_{2}-\psi_{1} u_{1} V_{t}\right) d n+O\left(R^{-1}\right) . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{1} u_{1}^{2} d n=\frac{1}{40}, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{1} u_{1} d n=\frac{1}{2} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation corresponding to (6) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial S}\left(\psi_{2} \psi_{1 n}^{2}+2 \psi_{1} \psi_{1 n} \psi_{2 n}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\psi_{2} \psi_{1 n n}+\psi_{1} \psi_{2 n n}-\psi_{1 n} \psi_{2 n}+\psi_{2} \psi_{18} \psi_{1 n}+\psi_{1} \psi_{2 g} \psi_{1 n}+\psi_{1} \psi_{1 s} \psi_{2 n}\right) \\
& \quad=\psi_{1} H(s, n) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d s} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\psi_{2} u_{1}^{2}+2 \psi_{1} u_{1} u_{2}\right) d n & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{1} H d n+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\psi_{1} \psi_{1 s} \psi_{2 n}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{1}{1}}\left(\kappa \eta f f^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 s \kappa^{\prime} f^{\prime 2}\right) d \eta+\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{t}(s) \\
& =s^{-\frac{1}{1}\left(\frac{1}{12} \kappa(s)+\frac{1}{9} s \kappa^{\prime}(s)\right)+\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{t}(s),} \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

which integrates to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\psi_{2} u_{1}^{2}+2 \psi_{1} u_{1} u_{2}\right) d n=\frac{1}{9} s^{3} \kappa(s)+\frac{1}{4} \int s^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{t}(s) d s \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{t}(s)=-\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{ } 2+1) s^{-\frac{3}{4}}+\sum_{0}^{\infty} d_{n} s^{n} \quad \text { for small } s \\
\int s^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{t}(s) d s=(\sqrt{ } 2+1) s^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{n}}{n+\frac{1}{2}} s^{n+\frac{1}{2}}+\text { constant } \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

and it is convenient to assume that the constant of (60) is absorbed into the firstorder term by redefinition of the invariant $F$. Thus in terms of the physical variables

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} & \bar{\psi} \bar{u}\left\{\bar{u}-\bar{V}_{t}(\bar{s})+O\left(R^{-1} U_{c}\right)\right\} d \bar{n} \\
& =1+10 R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\frac{4}{9} s \frac{s^{\frac{3}{4}} K(s)}{}(s)+\frac{3}{2}(\sqrt{ } 2+1) s^{-1}-2 \int_{0}^{s} s^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{2}^{\prime}(s) d s\right\}+O\left(R^{-1}\right) . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\eta$ is used as independent variable in place of $n$ and the first-order solution (21) is inserted, (53) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{3} \psi_{2}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+f \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+5 f^{\prime} \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial \eta}-4 s\left(f^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial s \partial \eta}-f^{\prime \prime} \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial s}\right)=-4 s\left(\kappa \eta f^{\prime \prime \prime}+8 s \kappa^{\prime} g^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}=\partial \psi_{2} / \partial \eta=0 \quad \text { at } \quad \eta=0, \quad \partial \psi_{2} / \partial \eta \rightarrow 4 s^{3} V_{t}(s) \quad \text { as } \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Van Dyke, the solution of (62) can be broken up into a displacement effect and a curvature effect. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}(s, \eta)=\psi_{l}(s, \eta)+\psi_{c}(s, \eta)=\sum_{m} c_{m} s^{m+1} \chi_{m}(\eta)+\sum_{m} \kappa_{m} s^{m+1} \phi_{m}(\eta) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{t}(s)=\sum_{m} \frac{1}{4} c_{m} s^{m+1}  \tag{65}\\
\kappa(s)=\sum_{m} \kappa_{m} s^{m} \tag{66}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the series solution the cases of prime importance for $\psi_{d}$ are
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
m=-1, \quad c_{m}=-(\sqrt{ } 2+1),  \tag{67}\\
m=n-\frac{1}{4}, \quad c_{m}=4 d_{n} \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and for $\psi_{c}$ they are $m=0,1,2, \ldots$, but it will be of use for the integral solution to discuss the functions $\chi_{m}, \phi_{m}$ for general values of $m$. The equations to be solved are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}+f \chi_{m}^{\prime \prime}-(4 m-1) f^{\prime} \chi_{m}^{\prime}+4(m+1) f^{\prime \prime} \chi_{m}=0  \tag{68}\\
\phi_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}+f \phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}-(4 m-1) f^{\prime} \phi_{m}^{\prime}+4(m+1) f^{\prime \prime} \phi_{m}=-4\left(\eta f^{\prime \prime \prime}+8 m g^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{69}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi_{m}(0)=\chi_{m}^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \chi_{m}^{\prime}(\infty)=1  \tag{70}\\
\phi_{m}(0)=\phi_{m}^{\prime}(0)=\phi_{m}^{\prime}(\infty)=0 \tag{71}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (68) arises in Riley's (1962) study of the decay of perturbations to a wall jet in which he showed how it can be reduced to a hypergeometric equation. One solution of (68) is $f^{\prime}(\eta)$, and the transformations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\chi_{m}(\eta) & =f^{\prime}(\eta) \sigma(\eta)  \tag{72}\\
\sigma^{\prime}(\eta) & =(1-\zeta)^{-1} \Omega(\zeta), \quad \zeta=g^{3},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

lead to

$$
\zeta(1-\zeta) \Omega^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{5}{3}-\frac{8}{3} \zeta\right) \Omega^{\prime}-\frac{2}{3}(4 m+3) \Omega=0,
$$

which is a hypergeometric equation in which the parameters are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=\frac{5}{3}, \quad a b=\frac{8}{3} m+2, \quad c=\frac{5}{3} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (73) has solutions $\Omega=F(\zeta), G(\zeta)$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
F(\zeta)= & F(a, b ; 1 ; 1-\zeta) \\
= & \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\Gamma(1-a) \Gamma(1-b)} F\left(a, b ; \frac{5}{3} ; \zeta\right)+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} \zeta^{-\frac{2}{3}} F\left(1-a, 1-b ; \frac{1}{3} ; \zeta\right),  \tag{75}\\
G(\zeta)= & \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)} F\left(a, b ; \frac{5}{3} ; \zeta\right)=-\sum_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\log (1-\zeta)+C_{n}\right\} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(n!)^{2}}(1-\zeta)^{n},  \tag{76}\\
& \quad C_{n}=\psi(n+a)+\psi(n+b)-2 \psi(n+1) . \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (68) is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{m}=\zeta^{\frac{2}{3}}(1-\zeta)\left[A+B \int_{\epsilon_{1}}^{\zeta} \zeta^{-\frac{2}{\zeta}}(1-\zeta)^{-2} F(\zeta) d \zeta+C \int_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{2}}}^{\zeta} \zeta^{-\frac{2}{5}}(1-\zeta)^{-2} G(\zeta) d \zeta\right] . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions in terms of $\zeta$ are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlll}
\chi_{m} \rightarrow 0, & \zeta^{\frac{2}{3}} d \chi_{m} / d \zeta \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } & \zeta \rightarrow 0,  \tag{79}\\
(1-\zeta) d \chi_{m} / d \zeta \rightarrow 1 & \text { as } & \zeta \rightarrow 1 .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Hence $C=1, B=0$ and if we choose $\epsilon_{2}=0$ then $A=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{m}(\eta)=g\left(1-g^{3}\right) \int_{0}^{a^{3}} \zeta^{-\frac{2}{s}}(1-\zeta)^{-2} G(\zeta) d \zeta \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution breaks down if $a$ or $b$ is a negative integer and these cases provide the eigenvalues of Riley's decaying perturbations. The first of these corresponds to a small change in Glauert's $F$ and this is excluded by taking the constant in (60) as zero. The higher-order perturbations are all more singular at $s=0$ than the first term $m=-1$ of (67). These are associated with the method of production of the wall jet, and it is assumed that they have all decayed over a length scale small compared with the characteristic length $l$ of the surface.

A particular integral for $\phi_{m}$ can be found in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta)=\alpha+\beta\left(g^{3}+\frac{1}{2} h f^{\prime}\right)+\lambda\left(\eta f-\frac{3}{2} \eta^{2} f^{\prime}\right) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h(\eta) & =\int_{0}^{\eta} g(\eta) d \eta \\
& =\eta-2 \sqrt{ } 3 \tan ^{-1}(g \sqrt{ } 3 /(g+2)) \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

On substituting in (69) and equating coefficients we find that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\alpha=-2\left(16 m^{3}+42 m^{2}+17 m-3\right) /\{(4 m+7)(m+1)(2 m+1)\}  \tag{83}\\
\beta=16 m(2 m+3) /\{(4 m+7)(2 m+1)\}, \quad \lambda=4 /(4 m+7)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The complementary function $Q(\eta)$ must therefore satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(0)=-\alpha, \quad Q^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad Q^{\prime}(\infty)=-\lambda . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Q(\eta)$ is determined by the same method as $\chi_{m}$ but a modification is needed since $Q(0) \neq 0$. We thus obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{m}(\eta)=\alpha\left[g^{3}+\frac{1}{3} g\left(1-g^{3}\right)\right. & \left.\int_{0}^{g^{3}}\left\{\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)} \zeta^{-\frac{2}{3}}(1-\zeta)^{-2} F(\zeta)-\zeta^{-\frac{4}{3}}\right\} d \zeta\right]+\beta\left(g^{3}+\frac{1}{2} h f^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\lambda\left[\eta f-\frac{3}{2} \eta^{2} f^{\prime}-g\left(1-g^{3}\right) \int_{0}^{g^{4}} \zeta^{-\frac{2}{3}}(1-\zeta)^{-2} G(\zeta) d \zeta\right] . \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

The second-order skin friction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}=\left(\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial n}\right)_{n=0}=\frac{1}{16} s^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left[\sum_{m} c_{m} \chi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0) s^{m+1}+\sum_{m} \kappa_{m} \phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0) s^{m+1}\right] \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (80) and (85) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0) & =\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}  \tag{87}\\
\phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0) & =-\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma(1-a) \Gamma(1-b)} \alpha+\lambda\right) . \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly as $n \rightarrow \infty$
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{2}-V_{t}(s) n \rightarrow \sum_{m} c_{m} \lim _{\eta \rightarrow \infty}\left(\chi_{m}-\eta\right) s^{m+1}+\sum_{m} \kappa_{m} \phi_{m}(\infty) s^{m+1},  \tag{89}\\
\chi_{m}-\eta \rightarrow-\left(\frac{3}{2} \log 3+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{3}}+C_{0}+1\right),  \tag{90}\\
\phi_{m}(\infty)=\left(1+\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{3 \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}\right) \alpha+\beta+\left(\frac{3}{2} \log 3+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{3}}+C_{0}+1\right) \lambda . \tag{91}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have seen that the case $m=-1$ corresponds to the displacement flow when the wall jet is on a plane surface. Equation (68) is then a second-order equation for $\chi_{-1}^{\prime}$ and can be solved explicitly. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{-1}(\eta)=h-5\left(f+\eta f^{\prime}\right), \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\chi_{-1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=-3  \tag{93}\\
\chi_{-1}(\eta)-\eta \rightarrow-(5+\pi / \sqrt{ } 3) \quad \text { as } \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The values in (93) agree with (87) and (90) since $a=2, b=-\frac{1}{3}$. This case has also been treated by Plotkin (1970), and the analytical solution of Hayasi (1970) agrees with (92).

The case $m=-\frac{1}{2}$ is also of interest. Here $a=1, b=\frac{2}{3}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\right\} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $\alpha, \beta, \lambda$ occurring in the expression for $\phi_{m}$ are singular for $m=-\frac{1}{2},-1$ and $-\frac{7}{4}$ but of these only $-\frac{7}{4}$ is an eigenvalue. In fact $\phi_{m}$ is not singular at $m=-\frac{1}{2}$ and -1 , and has only a simple pole at $m=-\frac{7}{4}$.

Although $m=-\frac{3}{4}$ is an eigenvalue the function $\phi_{m}(\eta)$ is not singular here. The particular integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta)=16 g^{3}+\left(8 h-2 \eta^{2}\right) f^{\prime} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies all the boundary conditions, as does the complementary function

Consequently

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(\eta)=f+\eta f^{\prime} .  \tag{97}\\
\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)=P(\eta)+k Q(\eta) \tag{98}
\end{gather*}
$$

is a possible solution for any value of $k$. We can find the appropriate value by considering the limit as $b \rightarrow 0$ of $\phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ or $\phi_{m}(\infty)$, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad Q^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{2}{3}, \quad P(\infty)=16, \quad Q(\infty)=1 . \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{3}{2} \log 3+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{3}}-\frac{217}{18}=-9.50 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the radius of curvature is proportional to the boundary-layer thickness and so this is an example of what Van Dyke calls a 'jointly selfsimilar' solution. This case was studied by Wygnanski \& Champagne (1968) on the basis of a set of boundary-layer equations that agree with Van Dyke's to second order, but differ at the third order. Wygnanski \& Champagne looked for a similarity solution and obtained a generalization of Glauert's equation (9). It might seem that their solution should agree to second order with that given above, but they imposed the same boundary conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0, f(\infty)=1$ as Glauert, so that they would have $k=-15$. An attempt was made to expand the solution of their equation, leaving $f(\infty)$ arbitrary, in powers of the curvature parameter, but this gave indeterminacy at the first power and impossibility at
the second power. A further examination of Wygnanski \& Champagne's equation is made in the appendix, where it is shown that it has no solution with

$$
f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime}(\infty)=0
$$

that tends to Glauert's as the curvature tends to 0 .
A more satisfactory treatment of this problem was given by Lindow \& Greber (1968). They also used equations that differ from Van Dyke's at the third order but realized that the similarity variable may differ from Glauert's. Their secondorder solution agrees with (98) but they chose arbitrarily to take $k=-43 / 4$.

| $m$ | $\chi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $\lim \left(\eta-\chi_{m}\right)$ | $m$ | $\phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $\phi_{m}(\infty)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | -3 | 6.8138 | 0 | $-0.73596$ | 3.7580 |
| $-0.25$ | $+3 \cdot 1590 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4 \cdot 3588$ | 1 | $+3.5746$ | $2 \cdot 4490$ |
| +0.75 | $2.2744 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5 \cdot 9141$ | 2 | 6.7380 | 1.8392 |
| 1.75 | $3.8887 \times 10^{-3}$ | 6.5012 | 3 | $9 \cdot 3788$ | $1 \cdot 4835$ |
| $2 \cdot 75$ | $9.2835 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6.8688 | 4 | $11 \cdot 713$ | 1.2490 |
| $3 \cdot 75$ | $2.6883 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7 \cdot 1371$ | 5 | 13.842 | 1.0820 |
| $4 \cdot 75$ | $8.8681 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7 \cdot 3483$ | 6 | 15.821 | 0.9566 |
| 5.75 | $3.2193 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7 \cdot 5227$ | 7 | $17 \cdot 684$ | 0.8587 |
| 6.75 | $1 \cdot 2587 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7 \cdot 6711$ | 8 | 19.454 | 0.7800 |
| $7 \cdot 75$ | $5 \cdot 2246 \times 10^{-6}$ | 7.8003 | 9 | 21-146 | 0.7152 |
| 8.75 | $2.2787 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7 \cdot 9147$ | 10 | 22.774 | $0 \cdot 6610$ |
| $9 \cdot 75$ | $1.0364 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8 \cdot 0174$ | 11 | $24 \cdot 345$ | $0 \cdot 6148$ |
|  |  |  | 12 | $25 \cdot 866$ | $0 \cdot 5750$ |
| Table 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Although analytical solutions have been given for the functions $\chi_{m}(\eta)$ and $\phi_{m}(\eta)$, these are inconvenient for numerical calculation. Solutions were computed for us by Dr Ian Gladwell directly from (68) and (69) for $\chi_{m}(\eta)$ with $m=-1,-\frac{1}{4}(1) \frac{23}{4}$ and for $\phi_{m}(\eta)$ with $m=0(1) 6(2) 12$. Tables and graphs of these functions and their derivatives are given in the first author's M.Sc. thesis (Clark 1970). The values of $\chi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0), \lim \left(\eta-\chi_{m}\right), \phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ and $\phi_{m}(\infty)$ thus obtained agree closely with those given in table 1, which were derived from the analytical expressions (87), (90), (88) and (91).

## 4. Second-order boundary layer: integral solution

The series solution (64) is useful only when $s$ is small, but we can find a general solution of (62) by means of the Mellin transformation. Since the solution for

$$
V_{t}=U_{1}(s)=-\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{ } 2+1) s^{-\frac{s}{4}}
$$

is already known we can suppose that in (63) $V_{t}(s)$ is replaced by $U_{2}(s)$, so that from now on the suffix $d$ refers to the additional displacement flow due to the curvature of the surface.

The Mellin transform of the stream function $\psi_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*}(\tau, \eta)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{2}(s, \eta) s^{\tau-1} d s \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the same transformation applied to equation (62) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{3} \psi^{*}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+f \frac{\partial^{2} \psi^{*}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+(4 \tau+5) f^{\prime} \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial \eta}-4 \tau f^{\prime \prime} \psi^{*}=-4 \kappa^{*}(\tau)\left(\eta f^{\prime \prime \prime}-8(\tau+1) g^{\prime 2}\right)  \tag{102}\\
\kappa^{*}(\tau)=\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\tau} \kappa\left(s^{\prime} d s\right. \tag{103}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
is the Mellin transform of $s \kappa(s)$. The boundary conditions for (102) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*}=\partial \psi^{*} / \partial \eta=0 \quad \text { at } \quad \eta=0, \quad \partial \psi^{*} / \partial \eta \rightarrow U^{*}(\tau) \quad \text { as } \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{*}(\tau)=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\tau-\frac{1}{4}} U_{2}(s) d s \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (102), subject to the boundary conditions (104), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*}(\tau, \eta)=U^{*}(\tau) \chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)+\kappa^{*}(\tau) \phi_{-\tau-1}(\eta) \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation inverse to (101) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}(s, \eta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \psi^{*}(\tau, \eta) s^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is chosen so that the integral converges. Consequently the displacement and curvature effects are given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{d}(s, \eta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} U^{*}(\tau) \chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta) s^{-\tau} d \tau  \tag{108}\\
& \psi_{c}(s, \eta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \kappa^{*}(\tau) \phi_{-\tau-1}(\eta) s^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

The results of $\S 3$ show that $\chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ is a regular function of $\tau$ except for simple poles at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{3}{8} n^{2}+\frac{5}{8} n-\frac{1}{4} \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\phi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ behaves similarly except that $n=0$ gives a regular point. The contour of integration must pass to the left of all these poles. Since the functions $\chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ and $\phi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ are complicated, attention will be directed to the contributions to the second-order skin friction, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{d, c}(s)=\frac{1}{16} s^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\partial^{2} \psi_{d, c} / \partial \eta^{2}\right)_{\eta=0} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to the outer limit function

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\psi_{2}-U_{2}(s) n\right)=L_{d}+L_{c} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simplest case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{d}(s)=\frac{1}{16} s^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} U^{*}(\tau) \chi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0) s^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) / \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a, b=\frac{1}{6}\left(5 \pm(49+96 \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $|\tau| \rightarrow \infty$, except in a sector $|\arg \tau|<\epsilon, \chi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ is exponentially small. Consequently we can substitute the integral (105) for $U^{*}(\tau)$ into (113) and obtain, on reversing the order of integration,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{d}(s)=\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{4}} U_{2}(r)\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \chi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0)\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\tau} d \tau\right\} d r . \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r>s$ the inner integral can be evaluated by means of a large semicircle to the left and shown to be zero. Hence
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{d} & =\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \chi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0) t^{\tau} d \tau\right\}(s t)^{-\frac{1}{4}} U_{2}(s t) s d t \\
& =s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{0}^{1} f_{d}(t) U_{2}(s t) d t \tag{117}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
f_{d}(t)=\frac{t^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{8 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)} t^{\tau} d \tau
$$

In order to evaluate $f_{d}(t)$ it is convenient to deform the contour of integration into a loop from infinity round the poles of the integrand, which are on the positive real axis. The substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{3}{8}\left(\theta^{2}-49 / 36\right) \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

then leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}(t)=\frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{8 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}+\theta\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}-\theta\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)} t^{\frac{8}{8}\left(\theta^{2}-49 / 36\right)} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \theta d \theta, \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a path from $\infty e^{i(\pi-8)}$ to $\infty e^{i \delta}$ in the upper half plane, and $0<\delta<\frac{1}{4} \pi$. Since the integrand is an odd function of $\theta$ the integral is ( $-2 \pi i$ ) times the sum of the residues at the poles $\theta=\frac{5}{6}+n$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}(t)=\frac{1}{8} \sum_{0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)_{n}}{n!}\left(n+\frac{5}{8}\right) t^{\frac{3}{8} n^{2}+\frac{5}{8} n-\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series (121) converges for $|t|<1$ and rapidly unless $1-|t|$ is quite small. In order to estimate the function $f_{d}(t)$ when $t \rightarrow 1$ we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=e^{-h}, \quad \theta=\zeta / h \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write (120) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}(t)=\frac{3}{32 i} \frac{\exp (73 h / 96)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) h^{2}} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}+\zeta / h\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}+\zeta / h\right)} \frac{\exp \left(-3 \zeta^{2} / 8 h\right)}{\sin \left(\frac{5}{6} \pi-\pi \zeta / h\right)} \zeta d \zeta . \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h \rightarrow 0$ we can substitute the asymptotic forms for the $\Gamma$ functions and write

$$
2 i \sin \left(\frac{5}{6} \pi-\pi \zeta / h\right) \sim \exp \left(\frac{5}{6} \pi i-\pi i \zeta / h\right)
$$

This gives

$$
f_{d}(t) \sim \frac{3}{16} \frac{h^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)} \int_{C} \zeta^{\frac{5}{3}} \exp \left\{-\frac{5}{6} \pi i+h^{-1}\left(\pi i \zeta-\frac{3}{8} \zeta^{2}\right)\right\} d \zeta
$$

and the integral can be estimated by the saddle-point method, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}(t) \sim \frac{3}{16} \frac{\sqrt{ } 2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3(1-t)}\right)^{\frac{13}{6}} \exp \left(-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3(1-t)}\right) . \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

The outer limit for the displacement term is

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{d}(s) & =\lim _{\eta \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\psi_{d}(s, \eta)-4 s^{\frac{3}{4}} U_{2}(s) \eta\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} U^{*}(\tau) \lim _{\eta \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)-\eta\right\} s^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

From (90) and (77)

$$
\eta-\chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta) \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \log 3+(\pi / 2 \sqrt{ } 3)+1+2 \gamma+\psi(a)+\psi(b)
$$

and as $|\tau| \rightarrow \infty, a$ and $b \rightarrow \infty$, so that (except in a sector $|\arg \tau|<\epsilon$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(a)+\psi(b)= & \log a-\frac{1}{2} a^{-1}-\frac{1}{12} a^{-2}+\frac{1}{120} a^{-4}+O\left(a^{-6}\right) \\
& \quad+\log b-\frac{1}{2} b^{-1}-\frac{1}{12} b^{-2}+\frac{1}{12} \bar{\sigma}^{-4}+O\left(b^{-6}\right) \\
= & \log \left(-\frac{8}{3} \tau\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{-1}-\frac{119}{8} \frac{1}{60} \tau^{-2}+O\left(\tau^{-3}\right), \tag{126}
\end{align*}
$$

after use has been made of equations (74). Consequently we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)-\eta\right\}=-\log (-8 \sqrt{ } 3 \tau)-(\pi / 2 \sqrt{ } 3)-1-2 \gamma-\Delta(\tau) \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. The contribution of $\Delta(\tau)$ to $L_{d}(s)$ is, proceeding as with $\tau_{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\Delta}(s)=s^{\frac{3}{3}} \int_{0}^{1} U_{2}(s t) g_{d}(t) d t \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{d}(t) & =-\frac{2}{\pi i} t^{t} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \Delta(\tau) t^{\tau} d \tau \\
& =-\frac{2}{\pi i} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty}\left\{\psi(a)+\psi(b)-\log \left(-\frac{8}{3} \tau\right)\right\} t^{\tau} d \tau \\
& =-\frac{2}{\pi i} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{C}\left\{\psi\left(\frac{5}{6}+\theta\right)+\psi\left(\frac{5}{6}-\theta\right)-\log \left(\frac{4 \theta}{36}-\theta^{2}\right)\right\} t^{\frac{3}{\theta^{2}}-49 / 98} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \theta d \theta \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi i} \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\log t} \int_{C}\left\{\psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{5}{6}+\theta\right)-\psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{5}{6}-\theta\right)-\frac{2 \theta}{\theta^{2}-\frac{49}{36}}\right\} t^{\frac{3}{8} \theta^{2}-49 / 96} d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral can be evaluated by considering the residues at $\theta=\frac{7}{6}$ and $n+\frac{5}{6}$ ( $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ ), with the result that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{d}(t)=\frac{4 t^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\log t}+3 \sum_{0}^{\infty}\left(n+\frac{5}{6}\right) t^{\frac{3}{8}} n^{2}+\frac{5}{8} n-\frac{1}{2} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can also be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} U^{*}(\tau) \log (-\tau) s^{-\tau} d \tau=4 s^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{U_{2}(s)-t^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{2}(s t)}{\log t} d t \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{d}(s)=- & (2 \log 192+(2 \pi / \sqrt{ } 3)+4+8 \gamma) s^{\frac{3}{4}} U_{2}(s) \\
& +s^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{0}^{1}\left\{U_{2}(s t) g_{d}(t)+(4 / \log t)\left(U_{2}(s)-t^{-1} U_{2}(s t)\right)\right\} d t . \tag{131}
\end{align*}
$$

The asymptotic behaviour of $\Delta(\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ is obtained from (126) and may be used to infer the form of $g_{d}(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ by considering a large loop as the contour of integration. In this way it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{d}(t)=2+\left(\frac{239}{240}\right)(1-t)+O(1-t)^{2} \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the integrand in (131) tends to $3 U_{2}(s)-4 s U_{2}^{\prime}(s)$ as $t \rightarrow 1$.
The contribution of the curvature to the skin friction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{c}(s)=\frac{1}{16} s^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \kappa^{*}(\tau) \phi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime}(0) s^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, as will be shown, $\phi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=O\left(\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$ for large $|\tau|$ it is convenient to write this as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{c}(s) & =\frac{s^{-\frac{8}{2}}}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \tau \kappa^{*}(\tau)\left(\frac{1}{16} \tau^{-1} \phi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right) s^{-\tau} d \tau \\
& =s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \kappa_{1}(s t) f_{c}(t) d t, \tag{134}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1}(s)=d(s \kappa(s)) / d s \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e}(t)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{o+i \infty} \frac{1}{16} \tau^{-1} \phi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0) t^{\tau} d \tau . \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

After $\alpha$ has been expressed in partial fractions, the method used for $f_{a}(t)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{c}(t)= & -\frac{17}{28}+\frac{5}{108}\left(3 \log 3+\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{671}{81}\right) t^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& +\frac{1}{6} \sum_{2}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n+1}}{n!} A_{n}+\frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{(n-1)\left(n+\frac{8}{3}\right)}\right\} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n+1}\left(n+\frac{5}{6}\right)}{n!\left(n-\frac{1}{3}\right)(n+2)} t^{\frac{\pi}{3}} n^{2}+\frac{5}{8} n-1, \tag{137}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=1-\frac{\frac{9}{5}}{(n-1)\left(n+\frac{8}{3}\right)}+\frac{\frac{8}{3}}{\left(n-\frac{1}{3}\right)(n+2)}+\frac{\frac{4}{5}}{\left(n+\frac{2}{3}\right)(n+1)} . \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behaviour of $f_{c}(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ is again found by considering a large loop integral in the $\tau$ plane. In $\phi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ the term multiplying $\lambda$ in (88) is exponentially small so that except in $|\arg \tau|<\epsilon$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\phi_{-\tau-1}^{\prime \prime}(0) & =-\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)} \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma\left(a-\frac{2}{3}\right)} \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(b-\frac{2}{3}\right)} \alpha+\exp \text { small } \\
& =16 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}\left(-\frac{1}{3} \tau\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1+\frac{211}{216} \tau^{-1}+O\left(\tau^{-2}\right)\right), \\
\text { and hence } \quad f_{c}(t) & =\frac{(1-t)^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{3^{\frac{5}{3}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}\left(1-\frac{235}{72}(1-t)+O(1-t)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

The outer limit of the curvature stream function is

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{c}(s) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \kappa^{*}(\tau) \phi_{-\tau-1}(\infty) s^{-\tau} d \tau \\
& =s \int_{0}^{1} \kappa_{1}(s t) g_{c}(t) d t \tag{141}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad g_{c}(t)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \tau^{-1} \phi_{-\tau-1}(\infty) t^{\tau} d \tau$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{4}{7}\left(12 \log 3-\frac{5 \pi}{3 \sqrt{3}}+5\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(3 \log 3+\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{671}{81}\right) t^{3} \\
& +\frac{8}{3} \sum_{2}^{\infty}\left\{(-1)^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n+1}}{n!} A_{n}-\frac{2}{(n-1)\left(n+\frac{8}{3}\right)}\right\} \frac{n+\frac{5}{6}}{\left(n-\frac{1}{3}\right)(n+2)} t^{\frac{8}{2} n^{2}+\frac{5}{8} n-\frac{1}{4}} . \tag{142}
\end{align*}
$$

For large $|\tau|$, except in $|\arg \tau|<\epsilon$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{-\tau-1}(\infty) & \sim \alpha+\beta+\left(\frac{3}{2} \log 3+(\pi / 2 \sqrt{ } 3)+1+2 \gamma+\psi(a)+\psi(b)\right) \lambda \\
& \sim-\left(\log (-8 \sqrt{3} \tau)+(\pi / 2 \sqrt{ } 3)+2 \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\tau^{-1}-\frac{3}{4} \tau^{-2}+\ldots\right)+\frac{5}{2} \tau^{-2}+\ldots \tag{143}
\end{align*}
$$

From this it follows that as $t \rightarrow 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{c}(t) \sim\left(\log (8 \sqrt{ } 3 /(1-t))+(\pi / 2 \sqrt{ } 3)+\gamma+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(1-t+\frac{1}{8}(1-t)^{2}+\ldots\right) \\
+\frac{5}{16}(1-t)^{2}+\ldots . \tag{144}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 2 gives numerical values of the functions $f_{d}, g_{d}, f_{c}$ and $g_{c}$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$.

| $t$ | $f_{d}$ | $g_{d}$ | $f_{c}$ | $g_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | $-0.60714$ | $8 \cdot 6631$ |
| 0.01 | 1.00350 | 22.8035 | $-0.58753$ | $8 \cdot 3807$ |
| 0.02 | $0 \cdot 68267$ | $15 \cdot 7378$ | $-0.57416$ | $8 \cdot 1883$ |
| $0 \cdot 03$ | $0 \cdot 53555$ | 12.6486 | $-0.56243$ | $8 \cdot 0196$ |
| $0 \cdot 04$ | 0.44501 | 10.8274 | $-0.55164$ | $7 \cdot 8649$ |
| $0 \cdot 05$ | $0 \cdot 38137$ | 9.5966 | $-0.54151$ | $7 \cdot 7197$ |
| $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 21303$ | 6.6038 | $-0.49647$ | $7 \cdot 0796$ |
| $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 13189$ | $5 \cdot 3175$ | $-0.45656$ | 6.5228 |
| $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 08255$ | $4 \cdot 5663$ | -0.41934 | 6.0169 |
| $0 \cdot 25$ | 0.05038 | 4.0612 | $-0.38372$ | 5.5478 |
| $0 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 02926$ | $3 \cdot 6926$ | $-0.34900$ | 5-1079 |
| $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 00774$ | 3•1816 | $-0.28027$ | 4.2958 |
| 0.5 | $0 \cdot 00120$ | $2 \cdot 8373$ | -0.20933 | 3.5508 |
| 0.6 | 0.00007 | $2 \cdot 5857$ | -0.13123 | $2 \cdot 8498$ |
| 0.7 | 0 | $2 \cdot 3916$ | $-0.03694$ | $2 \cdot 1724$ |
| 0.75 | 0 | $2 \cdot 3099$ | $+0.02217$ | $1 \cdot 8367$ |
| 0.8 | 0 | $2 \cdot 2361$ | $0 \cdot 09626$ | $1 \cdot 4994$ |
| 0.85 | 0 | 2-1691 | $0 \cdot 19794$ | 1-1573 |
| 0.9 | 0 | $2 \cdot 1079$ | $0 \cdot 36083$ | 0.8053 |
| 0.95 | 0 | $2 \cdot 0518$ | $0 \cdot 72607$ | 0.4340 |
| 0.96 | 0 | $2 \cdot 0411$ | $0 \cdot 87706$ | 0.3556 |
| 0.97 | 0 | $2 \cdot 0306$ | $1 \cdot 10390$ | $0 \cdot 2749$ |
| 0.98 | 0 | 2-0202 | $1 \cdot 50026$ | $0 \cdot 1911$ |
| 0.99 | 0 | $2 \cdot 0100$ | $2 \cdot 46598$ | 0.1023 |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 |
|  |  | Table |  |  |

## 5. Applications: flow over a parabolic cylinder

The methods described in the previous sections have been applied to the cases in which the wall jet is placed at the vertex of a parabolic cylinder, both outside and inside. If the length $l$ is taken as the radius of curvature at the vertex the surface has equation $y=\mp \frac{1}{2} x^{2}$ in non-dimensional form, with the flow in the region $y \pm \frac{1}{2} x^{2}>0$. Suffices $o$ and $i$ will be used to denote the cases of flow outside and inside the parabola.

The conformal transformation from the half plane $Y>0$ to the outside of the parabola is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=F(Z)=Z-\frac{1}{2} i Z^{2} \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $x=X$ on the parabola. Then
and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(Z)=1-i Z, \quad \bar{F}^{\prime}(Z)=1+i Z, \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
S(Z) & =S_{o}(Z)=\int_{0}^{Z}\left(1+Z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d Z \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{Z\left(1+Z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\log \left(Z+\left(1+Z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\}, \tag{147}
\end{align*}
$$

where the square root is real and positive for $Z$ real and positive. The function $S_{0}(Z)$ has the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{o}(Z)=Z+\frac{1}{6} Z^{3}-\frac{1}{40} Z^{5}+O\left(Z^{7}\right) \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Z \rightarrow 0$, and for $Z \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{o}(Z)=\frac{1}{2} Z^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 Z)+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{16} Z^{-2}-\frac{1}{64} Z^{-4}+O\left(Z^{-6}\right) \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $S_{o}(Z)$ is regular in the domain formed by cutting the $Z$ plane from $i$ to $i \infty$ and from $-i$ to $-i \infty 0$ and does not vanish except at $Z=0$.

For the inside of the parabola we introduce an intermediate $\zeta$ plane where

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z=\sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \zeta\right),  \tag{150}\\
z=\zeta+\frac{1}{2} i \zeta^{2} . \tag{151}
\end{gather*}
$$

The strip $0<\mathscr{I} \zeta<1$ transforms into the upper half of the $Z$ plane cut from $Z=i$ to $i \infty 0$, and into the inside of the parabola cut from $z=\frac{1}{2} i$ to $i \infty$. Although each of the transformations (150), (151) is singular at $\zeta=i$, the combined transformation from $Z$ to $z$ is not singular and the two sides of each cut join up. In this case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Z)=S_{i}(Z)=S_{o}(\zeta) \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is convenient to integrate in the $\zeta$ plane. From (150), $X=\sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi x\right)$ on the parabola.

The coefficients for the outer flow were computed by numerical integration along a contour composed of straight line segments joining $i h,(i+1) h, h$ and $+\infty$. The integration program was written for us by Dr Ian Gladwell and was adapted for use in the later integrations.

Since $S_{o}(Z)$ is an odd function the flow on the outside of the parabola is given by
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d w_{2}}{d Z}=\sum_{0}^{\infty} b_{o_{2 n+1}} Z^{2 n+1}  \tag{153}\\
b_{o_{2 n+1}}=(2 n+2) \mathscr{R}\left\{\frac{1+(1+\sqrt{ } 2) i}{\pi} \int_{i h}^{\infty} \frac{S_{o}^{\frac{1}{o}}(Z)}{Z^{2 n+3}} d Z\right\} . \tag{154}
\end{gather*}
$$

The numerical integration was carried along the real axis until it was possible to estimate the remainder from (149). Dr Gladwell's computations gave

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{o_{1}}=0.286819, \quad b_{o_{\mathbf{s}}}=-0.107848, \quad b_{o_{5}}=0.057011, \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consistent values were found for $h=0.5(0 \cdot 1) 0 \cdot 9$. Since $s=S_{o}(X)$, we find from (148) that
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{20}(s)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} d_{o_{2 n+1}} s^{2 n+1}  \tag{156}\\
d_{o_{1}}=0.286819, \quad d_{o_{3}}=-0.29906, \quad d_{o_{5}}=0.3752 \tag{157}
\end{gather*}
$$

The corresponding coefficients for flow inside the parabola were found by using $\zeta$ as the variable of integration, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i_{2 n+1}}=(n+1) \mathscr{R}\left\{(1+(1+\sqrt{ } 2) i) \int_{i h}^{\infty} \frac{S_{o}^{\frac{1}{t}}(\zeta) \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \zeta\right)}{\sinh ^{2 n+3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \zeta\right)} d \zeta\right\} . \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results were

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i_{1}}=-0.141387, \quad b_{i_{\mathrm{s}}}=0.086582, \quad b_{i_{\mathrm{s}}}=-0.064795, \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

and these gave the coefficients for $U_{2 i}(s)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i_{1}}=-0.348857, \quad d_{i_{3}}=0.18584, \quad d_{i_{5}}=-0.1650 \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvature of the surface (positive for flow over the outside of the parabola) is
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(s)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{8}{2}}=\sum_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{2 n} s^{2 n}, \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\kappa_{0}=1, \quad \kappa_{2}=-1 \cdot 5, \quad \kappa_{4}=2 \cdot 375, \quad \kappa_{6}=-3 \cdot 8042,  \tag{162}\\
\kappa_{8}=6 \cdot 1210, \quad \kappa_{10}=-9 \cdot 8717, \quad \kappa_{12}=15 \cdot 821 .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In the following results the suffix $f$ denotes the value for a flat surface, $d$ the additional displacement contribution corresponding to $U_{2}(s)$, and $c$ the contribution of the curvature terms for the outside of the parabola. The last of these changes sign when the inside of the parabola is considered.

For the second-order skin friction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{f}=\frac{3}{16}(\sqrt{ } 2+1) s^{-\frac{3}{2}}=0.452665 s^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (157), (160), (162) and table 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{d o}=s^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(1.631 \times 10^{-3}-6.94 \times 10^{-5} s^{2}+8.3 \times 10^{-6} s^{4}+O\left(s^{6}\right)\right), \\
& \tau_{d i}=s^{\frac{1}{d}}\left(-1.984 \times 10^{-3}+4.31 \times 10^{-5} s^{2}-3.7 \times 10^{-6} s^{4}+O\left(s^{6}\right)\right) \text {, } \\
& \tau_{c}=s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(-0.04600-0.63169 s^{2}+1.7387 s^{4}-3.762 s^{6}\right.  \tag{164}\\
& \left.+7 \cdot 442 s^{8}-14.05 s^{10}+25 \cdot 6 s^{12}+O\left(s^{14}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The outer limit function $L=\lim \left(\psi_{2}-U_{2}(s) n\right)$ gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
L_{f}= & (\sqrt{ } 2+1)(5+\pi / \sqrt{ } 3)=16 \cdot 4500,  \tag{165}\\
L_{d o} & =s^{\mathfrak{7}}\left(-6.785+8 \cdot 22 s^{2}-11 \cdot 0 s^{4}+O\left(s^{6}\right)\right), \\
L_{d i}= & s^{\frac{4}{4}\left(8 \cdot 253-5 \cdot 11 s^{2}+4 \cdot 85 s^{4}+O\left(s^{6}\right)\right),} \\
L_{c}= & 3.7580 s-2 \cdot 759 s^{3}+2 \cdot 966 s^{5}-3 \cdot 64 s^{7} \\
+4 \cdot 8 s^{9}-6.5 s^{11}+9 \cdot 1 s^{13}+O\left(s^{15}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

These series are satisfactory for $|s|<0.5$, except for $L_{d o}$ and $L_{d i}$, and the values thus obtained provide a check on those computed by the integral method of $\S 4$.

The speed of the outer flow is given by (51), but for computational convenience the range of integration was divided into three parts and a partial integration carried out over the middle range to give

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{t}= & \frac{4}{\pi X S^{\prime}(X)}\left\{\int_{0}^{a} \frac{t S^{\frac{1}{2}}(X t)}{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{2}} d t+\frac{1}{8} X \int_{a}^{b} \frac{S^{-\frac{3}{4}}(X t) S^{\prime}(X t)-S^{-\frac{1}{4}}(X) S^{\prime}(X)}{t^{2}-1} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{t S^{\frac{1}{1}(X t)}}{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{2}} d t-\frac{1}{2} \frac{S^{\frac{1}{1}}(X a)}{1-a^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{S^{\frac{1}{4}}(X b)}{b^{2}-1}\right\}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \log \left(\frac{1+a}{1-a} \frac{b-1}{b+1}\right) S^{-\frac{3}{2}(X),} \tag{166}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{1}=X t, 0<a<\mathrm{I}<b, s=S(X)$. For the outside flow the first two integrals were evaluated numerically and the third estimated from the asymptotic behaviour (149) of $S_{o}(X)$. In the case of the inside flow the further transformation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
X & =\sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \xi\right), & X t & =\sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \xi \theta\right),  \tag{167}\\
X a & =\sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \xi \alpha\right), & X b & =\sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \xi \beta\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

was made and $\beta$ chosen large enough for the third integral, which is exponentially small in $\beta$, to be neglected. The results were checked by comparison with the series for small $s$ and with the asymptotic behaviour for $s \rightarrow \infty$ which will now be described.

When $X \rightarrow \infty$ in (51) the range of integration may be divided into ( $0, a X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ) and $\left(a X^{\frac{1}{2}}, \infty\right)$. In the former we may expand $\left(X_{1}^{2}-X^{2}\right)^{-2}$ in powers of $X_{1} / X$ and in the latter use the asymptotic form for $S^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{1}\right)$ as well as for $S^{\frac{1}{1}}(X)$. In this way it was found, using (149), that for the outside flow

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{t_{o}}(s)=-\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left(1+\frac{3 \pi}{8 s}\right. & \left.+\frac{21 \pi^{2}}{128 s^{2}}\right)+c_{1} s^{-2} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{1} \log (8 s)+\frac{1}{4} c_{1}+c_{2}\right) s^{-3}+O\left(s^{-\frac{1 s}{2}} \log s\right) \tag{168}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} X\left\{S_{o}^{\frac{1}{o}}(X)-2^{\frac{1}{4}} X^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[1+\frac{1}{4} X^{-2}\left(\log (2 X)+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\right\} d X, \\
& c_{2}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} X^{3}\left\{S_{o}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)-2^{\frac{1}{4}} X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right. {\left[1+\frac{1}{4} X^{-2}\left(\log (2 X)+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{1}{32} X^{-4}\left(-3\left(\log (2 X)+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+1\right)\right]\right\} d X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Numerical integration gave $c_{1}=0.09413, c_{2}=0.2864$. When the inside of the parabola is considered, the contribution of the range ( $0, a X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ) is exponentially small in terms of $s$ and the remainder gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{t i}(s) \sim-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(1+\frac{\log (8 s)-\frac{2}{3}}{8 s}+\frac{\frac{3}{2} \log ^{2}(8 s)-\frac{20}{3} \log (8 s)+\frac{23}{6}}{(8 s)^{2}}+\ldots\right) \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading term of ( 168 ) corresponds to taking the suction velocity $\frac{1}{4} s^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ to act on the axis $x=0, y<0$; the leading term of (169) to dividing the total inflow $2 s \ddagger$ by the channel width $2 x$.

After the programme for computing $U_{2}(s)$ had been tested it was used to provide values of the integrands for $\tau_{d}$ and $L_{d}$. The integrands for $\tau_{c}$ and $L_{c}$ involve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1}(s)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}-3 s x\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-3} . \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where necessary the ranges of integration were divided in order to deal separately with the singularities at $t=0$ and $t=1$. Values of $U_{2}^{\prime}(s)$, needed for the integrand for $L_{d}$ at $t=1$, were obtained by numerical differentiation. The variation of Glauert's integral as given by (61) was also computed from

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
F_{f} & =15(\sqrt{ } 2+1) s^{-\frac{1}{-1}}  \tag{171}\\
F_{d} & =10 \int_{0}^{s} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{2}(s) d s-20 s^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{2}(s), \\
F_{c} & =\frac{40}{9} s^{\frac{3}{3}} \kappa(s) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

A few of the results of these computations are given in tables $3,4,5$ and 6.

| 8 | Flat $U_{1}$ | Outside parabola |  |  | Inside parabola |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $U_{20}$ | $V_{t o}$ | $\kappa$ | $U_{2 i}$ | $V_{t i}$ |
| 0 | $-0.604 s^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ | $0.287 s$ | $-0.604 s^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ | 1 | $-0.349 s$ | $-0.604 s^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ |
| $0 \cdot 1$ | -3.394 | 0.0284 | $-3.366$ | 0.9852 | -0.0347 | - $3 \cdot 429$ |
| $0 \cdot 2$ | -2.018 | 0.0551 | $-1.963$ | 0.9436 | $-0.0683$ | $-2.086$ |
| 0.5 | $-1.015$ | 0.1148 | -0.9002 | 0.7310 | $-0.1553$ | -1.170 |
| 1 | -0.6036 | $0 \cdot 1501$ | -0.4535 | 0.4152 | -0.2454 | $-0.8490$ |
| 2 | $-0.3589$ | 0.1399 | -0.2190 | $0 \cdot 1642$ | -0.3111 | -0.6699 |
| 5 | $-0.1805$ | 0.0908 | -0.0897 | 0.0385 | -0.3279 | -0.5084 |
| 10 | $-0.1073$ | 0.0544 | -0.0490 | 0.0127 | $-0.3090$ | -0.4163 |
| 20 | $-0.0638$ | 0.0360 | -0.0278 | 0.00427 | -0.2799 | -0.3437 |
| 50 | $-0.0321$ | 0.0185 | -0.0136 | 0.00104 | -0.2374 | $-0.2695$ |
| 100 | -0.0191 | 0.0111 | $-0.0080$ | 0.00036 | -0.2062 | -0.2253 |
| $\infty$ | $-0.604 s^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ | $0 \cdot 3548^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ | $-0.25 s^{-\frac{7}{4}}$ | $0.354 s^{-\frac{7}{2}}$ | $-0.707 s^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ | $-0.707 s^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ |
| Table 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $s$ | Flat <br> $L_{f}$ | Outside parabola |  |  | Inside parabola |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $L_{\text {do }}$ | $L_{\text {c }}$ | $L_{0}$ | $L_{d i}$ | $L_{i}$ |
| 0 | 16.45 | $-6.78 s^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | 3.76s | 16.45 | $8.25 s{ }^{\text {4 }}$ | $16 \cdot 45$ |
| $0 \cdot 1$ | 16.45 | -0.1192 | 0.3731 | 16.70 | $0 \cdot 1458$ | 16.22 |
| $0 \cdot 2$ | 16.45 | $-0.3872$ | $0 \cdot 7304$ | 16.79 | $0 \cdot 4818$ | 16.20 |
| $0 \cdot 5$ | 16.45 | $-1.558$ | 1.605 | 16.50 | $2 \cdot 145$ | 16.99 |
| 1 | 16.45 | -3.201 | $2 \cdot 366$ | $15 \cdot 62$ | $5 \cdot 509$ | $19 \cdot 59$ |
| 2 | 16.45 | -4.434 | $2 \cdot 701$ | $14 \cdot 72$ | 11.08 | $24 \cdot 83$ |
| 5 | 16.45 | -4.494 | $2 \cdot 424$ | 14.38 | $21 \cdot 19$ | 35.22 |
| 10 | $16 \cdot 45$ | -3.745 | 1.990 | $14 \cdot 69$ | 31.65 | 46.31 |
| 20 | 16.45 | $-2.617$ | 1.551 | 15.38 | $45 \cdot 68$ | $60 \cdot 58$ |
| 50 | 16.45 | -0.699 | 1.066 | 16.82 | $72 \cdot 36$ | 87.84 |
| 100 | 16.45 | $+1.073$ | 0.786 | $17 \cdot 31$ | $101 \cdot 3$ | $117 \cdot 0$ |
| $\infty$ | 16.45 | $+3 \cdot 438{ }^{\text { }}$ | $9 \cdot 30 s^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ | 3.43s | $7.96 s^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $7.96 s^{\frac{1}{4}}$ |
| Table 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $s$ | Flat <br> $F_{f}$ | Outside parabola |  |  | Inside parabola |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $F_{d o}$ | $F_{c}$ | $F_{o}$ | $F_{d i}$ | $F_{i}$ |
| 0 | $36 \cdot 2 s^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ | $-3 \cdot 82 s^{\frac{3}{2}}$ | $4 \cdot 44 s^{4}$ | $36 \cdot 2 s^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ | $4.65 s^{\frac{8}{2}}$ | $36 \cdot 28^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ |
| $0 \cdot 1$ | $64 \cdot 40$ | $-0 \cdot 1193$ | 0.7787 | $65 \cdot 06$ | $0 \cdot 1461$ | $63 \cdot 76$ |
| $0 \cdot 2$ | $54 \cdot 15$ | $-0.3246$ | 1.254 | 55.08 | $0 \cdot 4050$ | $53 \cdot 30$ |
| $0 \cdot 5$ | 43.06 | $-1.011$ | 1.932 | 43.99 | $1 \cdot 415$ | $42 \cdot 55$ |
| 1 | 36.21 | $-1.590$ | 1.845 | 36.47 | 2.939 | $37 \cdot 31$ |
| 2 | $30 \cdot 45$ | $-1 \cdot 314$ | 1.228 | 30.36 | $4 \cdot 476$ | 33.70 |
| 5 | $24 \cdot 22$ | $+0.457$ | 0.5726 | $25 \cdot 25$ | $4 \cdot 987$ | $28 \cdot 63$ |
| 10 | $20 \cdot 36$ | $2 \cdot 175$ | 0.3174 | 22.86 | 3.952 | $24 \cdot 00$ |
| 20 | $17 \cdot 12$ | 3.842 | $0 \cdot 1794$ | $21 \cdot 15$ | +1.740 | $18 \cdot 68$ |
| 50 | $13 \cdot 62$ | 5.780 | 0.0868 | $19 \cdot 49$ | $-3.082$ | $10 \cdot 45$ |
| 100 | 11.45 | $7 \cdot 017$ | 0.0508 | 18.52 | -8.341 | $+3.06$ |
| $\infty$ | $36 \cdot 2 s^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ | 13.70 | $1.57 s^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ | $13 \cdot 70$ | $-14 \cdot 1 s^{\text {㐫 }}$ | $-14 \cdot 18^{\frac{1}{4}}$ |
| Table 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 6. Conclusion

The integral solution requires a suitable path of integration to exist for the integrals (108), (109). The function $\mathcal{X}_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ is regular in $\mathscr{R} \tau<-\frac{1}{4}$, and $\phi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ is regular in $\mathscr{R} \tau<\frac{3}{4}$. The integral (105) for $U^{*}(\tau)$ converges at $s=0$ provided $\mathscr{R} \tau>-\frac{3}{4}$ in general, and $\mathscr{R} \tau>-\frac{7}{4}$ in the symmetrical case; the integral (103) for $\kappa^{*}(\tau)$ converges for $\mathscr{R} \tau>-1$ if the curvature is finite at $s=0$. For the case of a parabolicsurface the integral for $U^{*}(\tau)$ converges as $s \rightarrow \infty$ provided $\mathscr{R} \tau<0$ in the case of external flow, and provided $\mathscr{R} \tau<-\frac{1}{2}$ for internal flow; that for $\kappa^{*}(\tau)$ converges if $\mathscr{R} \tau<\frac{1}{2}$. Thus in each case there is a strip of the $\tau$ plane within which the path of integration may be drawn. The series solution for small $s$ may be recovered by considering the residues at the poles of $U^{*}(\tau)$ and $\kappa^{*}(\tau)$ to the left of the path; the asymptotic behaviour for large $s$ depends on the singularities of the integrands to the right.

For the outside flow the first singularity to the right is that of $\chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ at $\tau=-\frac{1}{4}$, so that as $s \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{d o} & \sim-U_{o}^{*}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) s^{\ddagger} \lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\frac{1}{4}}\left\{\left(\tau+\frac{1}{4}\right) \chi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)\right\} \\
& \sim \frac{5}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{2 o}(r) d r \cdot s^{\ddagger}\left(f+\eta f^{\prime}\right) \sim 3 \cdot 43 s^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(f+\eta f^{\prime}\right) . \tag{172}
\end{align*}
$$

This result follows also from considering the variation of Glauert's integral when $s \rightarrow \infty$ and represents an effective change of origin of the wall jet due to the perturbation by the outer flow. For the inside flow the strip in the $\tau$ plane is bounded to the right by the requirement of convergence of $U^{*}(\tau)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{d i} & \sim-\chi_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) s^{\frac{1}{2}} \lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\left(\tau+\frac{1}{2}\right) U_{i}^{*}(\tau)\right\} \\
& \sim-(8 s)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(h^{2} f^{\prime}+h\left(4 g^{3}-3\right)-f+5 \eta f^{\prime}\right), \tag{173}
\end{align*}
$$

from (169) and (94). The integral for $\psi_{c}$ converges in $-1<\mathscr{R} \tau<\frac{1}{2}$ since the first singularity of $\phi_{-\tau-1}(\eta)$ is at $\tau=\frac{3}{4}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{c} \sim(8 s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\eta) . \tag{174}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from these results that as $s \rightarrow \infty, \psi_{d} \gg \psi_{f} \gg \psi_{c}$ for both external and internal flow, and the series solution for small $s$ makes $\psi_{f} \gg \psi_{c} \geqslant \psi_{d}$ in symmetrical flow and $\psi_{f} \gg \psi_{d} \gg \psi_{c}$ in asymmetrical flow, as $s \rightarrow 0$. The results proved for $s \rightarrow \infty$ are special to the case of a parabolic surface, though the inequalities are probably of wider application. The main conclusion to be drawn is that for the wall jet the effect of the curvature terms in (22) to (24) is less important than that of the displacement flow appearing in the boundary condition (26).

Finally, it should be noted that for flow inside the parabola the solution must fail when $s=O\left(R^{2}\right)$, since then the boundary-layer thickness becomes comparable with the channel width and the velocity of the second-order outer flow is comparable with that in the first-order boundary layer. The second-order skin friction is negative for $s>80$ and the flow may separate when $s=O\left(R^{2}\right)$, but the present method of analysis does not seem able to decide this point since it requires Glauert's solution to be a valid first approximation. These difficulties do not arise in the case of flow outside the parabola. $\dagger$
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## Appendix

Wygnanski \& Champagne (1968) based their study of the wall jet on a curved surface on the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}+v \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\{(1+\kappa n) u\}=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left\{(1+\kappa n) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right\},  \tag{A1}\\
\kappa u^{2}=\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\{(1+\kappa n) v\}=0 . \tag{A2}
\end{gather*}
$$

If these equations are expanded in powers of $R^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ they agree to terms $O\left(R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ with Van Dyke's first- and second-order boundary-layer equations, but differ in
$\dagger$ Note added in proof: After this paper had been accepted, a referee sent us copies of a paper to be published by Plotkin (1971), which treats a wall jet on the outside of a parabola by numerical integration of the second-order boundary layer equations. Plotkin's calculations were confined to the region $0<s \leqslant 1 \cdot 5$, and his results for the effect of curvature on the skin friction agree well with ours. The displacement effect is not comparable, since Plotkin considered a one-sided wall jet with flow in the positive direction only. He did not investigate the resultant boundary layer in the region $s<0$, but this appears not to influence the flow in $s>0$ to $O\left(R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$.
terms $O\left(R^{-1}\right)$. Wygnanski \& Champagne sought a similarity solution of equations (A 1) to (A 3) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=s^{\frac{1}{4}} f(\eta), \quad \eta=\frac{1}{4} s^{\frac{3}{4}} n, \quad \kappa=\frac{1}{4} k s^{\frac{7}{4}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtained, assuming that $u \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}+2 f^{\prime 2}=-k\left[\eta f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{f f^{\prime}+3 k \eta^{2} f^{\prime 2}}{1+k \eta}-4 \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta\right] \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime}(\infty)=0 . \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=0$, (A 5) reduces to Glauert's equation (9). Following Glauert, multiply (A 5) by $f$ and integrate from 0 to $\eta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}+f^{2} f^{\prime}=-k\left[\eta\left(f f^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}\right)+\int_{0}^{\eta}\left\{\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}+\frac{f^{2} f^{\prime}+3 k \eta^{2} f f^{\prime 2}}{1+k \eta}-4 f \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta\right\} d \eta\right] \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f^{\prime}(\infty)=0$, either $k=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}+\frac{f^{2} f^{\prime}+3 k \eta^{2} f f^{\prime 2}}{1+k \eta}-4 f \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta\right\} d \eta=0 . \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is satisfied when $k=0$ and $f$ is Glauert's function since then
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta=f^{\prime \prime}+f f^{\prime} \\
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}+f^{2} f^{\prime}-4 f\left(f^{\prime \prime}+f f^{\prime}\right)\right\} d \eta=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{-f f^{\prime \prime}-f^{\prime 2}\right\} d \eta=0
\end{gathered}
$$

For general values of $k$ we obtain similarly from (A 5)

$$
\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta=f^{\prime \prime}+f f^{\prime}+k\left\{\eta f^{\prime \prime}-\int_{\eta}^{\infty}\left(\frac{f f^{\prime}+3 k \eta^{2} f^{\prime 2}}{1+k \eta}-4 \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta\right) d \eta\right\},
$$

and (A 8) becomes, using (A 7) to eliminate $f^{2} f^{\prime}$,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(-f f^{\prime \prime}-f^{\prime 2}+k F\right) d \eta=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F=\frac{3 \eta^{2} f f^{\prime 2}-\eta f^{2} f^{\prime}}{1+k \eta}-\eta\left(f f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} f^{\prime 2}\right) & +4 f \int_{\eta}^{\infty}\left(\frac{f f^{\prime}+3 k \eta^{2} f^{\prime 2}}{1+k \eta}-4 \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta\right) d \eta \\
& +3 \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}+\frac{f^{2} f^{\prime}+3 k \eta^{2} f f^{\prime 2}}{1+k \eta}-4 f \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d \eta\right) d \eta \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence if $f(\eta)$ satisfies (A 5 ) and (A 6 ) with $k \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} F d \eta=0 . \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if as $k \rightarrow 0, f(\eta) \rightarrow f_{0}(\eta)$ where $f_{0}(\eta)$ is Glauert's function, then $F \rightarrow F_{0}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{0}=3 \eta^{2} f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime 2}-\eta\left(f_{0}^{2} f_{0}^{\prime}+f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} f_{0}^{\prime 2}\right)+4 f_{0} \int_{\eta}^{\infty}\left(f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime}-4 \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f_{0}^{\prime 2} d \eta\right) d \eta \\
&+3 \int_{0}^{\eta}\left(\frac{1}{2} f_{0}^{\prime 2}+f_{0}^{2} f_{0}^{\prime}-4 f_{0} \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f_{0}^{\prime 2} d \eta\right) d \eta \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

After some calculation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{0} d \eta=\frac{3}{5} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n}}{n!n^{2}}+\frac{(\pi+3 \sqrt{ } 3 \log 3)^{2}}{40}+\pi \sqrt{ } 3+9 \log 3-\frac{683}{40}>0.6 \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently (A 5) has no solution, subject to the conditions (A 6), that tends to Glauert's function as $k \rightarrow 0$.

The third-order boundary-layer equations are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{1 n} \psi_{3 n s}+\psi_{2 n} \psi_{2 n s}+\psi_{3 n} \psi_{1 n s}-\psi_{1 s}\left(\psi_{3 n n}+\kappa \psi_{2 n}-\kappa^{2} n \psi_{1 n}\right) \\
-\psi_{2 s}\left(\psi_{2 n n}+\kappa \psi_{1 n}\right)-\psi_{3 s} \psi_{1 n n} \\
=-p_{3 s}+\psi_{3 n n n}+\kappa n \psi_{2 n n n}+\kappa \psi_{2 n n}-\kappa^{2} \psi_{1 n}+\psi_{1 s s n},  \tag{A13}\\
-\psi_{1 n} \psi_{1 s s}-2 \kappa \psi_{1 n} \psi_{2 n}+\psi_{1 s} \psi_{1 n s}=-p_{3 n}-\kappa n p_{2 n}-\psi_{1 n n s}  \tag{A14}\\
u_{3}=\psi_{3 n}, \quad v_{3}+\kappa n v_{2}=-\psi_{3 s} \tag{A15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
The forcing terms in these equations may be classified into (i) products of secondorder terms, (ii) (curvature) $\times($ first-order $) \times\left(\right.$ second-order), (iii) (curvature) ${ }^{2} \times$ (first-order), (iv) first-order terms.

The fourth type is omitted when Murphy's (1953) equations for the boundary layer on a curved surface are expanded in powers of $R^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ but all the others are included. We may also divide the solution of equations (A 13) to (A 15) into various contributions, one of which comprises the terms quadratic in the curvature, and we may seek a solution for this quadratic curvature effect in the jointsimilarity case by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{1}{4} k s^{-\frac{3}{1}}, \quad \psi_{3 c c}=k^{2} s^{\ddagger} \omega(\eta) . \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be shown that if $\omega(0)=\omega^{\prime}(0)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(\infty)=11-6 \log 3-2 \pi / \sqrt{ } 3=0.781 . \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result explains why it is inappropriate to look for a similarity solution of equations (A 1) to (A 3), or those of Murphy, in the form (A 4) with $u \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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